PACMEDY-PI01

6 June 2016

Agenda

- Contract, recruitments and different administrative points for each partners (grouped by funding agencies) .
- Starting to work and first 9 months objectives: organization though the data and modeling committees.
- PACMEDY wiki for daily work
- What else?

Contract and Coe

Official dates for our common scientific agenda : 1 September 2016-31 August 2019
 We need to make sure we are all consistent with the reporting and need to anticipate different request from the different funding agencies
 Reporting date for each funding agency :

 \rightarrow PI to provide their contract and indicate the dates on the wiki (see wiki section below) when table to do it into place

- Consortium Agreement: Ever thing is in the hands of CEA (acts for CNRS). They promise a draft document for end of August. Normally when the document is ready it should go fast, because PACMEDY is a normal reasearch contract.
- → Since pb can occur for some of the partners, we need to have it as soon as possible → Marie and Pascale feedback to the service in charge of establishing the consortium agreement.
- Contract, recruitments and different administrative points for each partners (grouped by funding agencies)

Signature ok, may be a small delay in UK ; Possible issue in Brasil (dates coincide with the signature)

Recruitment and impact on the first 9 months of the projet :

Most partners OK

IIITM has to advertise for a position : normally early September, but delay can occur MPI : need to hire somebody for 1st September .

PCMEDY web and wiki

• Wiki open : <u>https://pacmedy.lsce.ipsl.fr/wiki/</u>

Login : pacmedy Password : p@cm3dy

At this point read only (for everybody with this login and password Login will be provided for thoses who need to write and take care of a section on the wiki \rightarrow extend this to all PI (Pascale and Jean-Yves) DONE

Online test during the telco by some of us show that some of the files were not accessible \rightarrow Fix the external access so that document are accessible to pacmedy members (generic) DONE

• Comment on wiki organisation

General structure sounds ok, but we'll see when needed.

The wiki is suppose to be a kind of common lab notebook.

To do soon :

Include a section where the PI / each funding agencies can upload their contract

Include a section with a table where PI for each funding agencies can include dates of the different reporting periods.

 $- \rightarrow$ Pascale to put the structure into place ; all funding agency lead PI to fill in the wiki

• Refining where we start from and already have

It is important to refine the workplan and to provide the consortium with the initial expertise of the different partners on the subject : only key papers and previous finding to be used as a starting point. We didn't discussed it, but should put the amphasize on the last 5 years and provide early biblio only if because nothing new has been done recently.

→ Each partner to fill in a wiki document with a key results they already have on the subject + key ref. Pascale to put into place the structure of the wiki PAGE following the project structure in WP and task

In order to start the work in September we need to refine the workplan for the first 9 months. We'll start from the input of each partner then the panels will coordinate the work and suggest to revisit the priorites where needed so as to maximise the interactions between the groups.

→ Each partner to fill in a page similar to the previous one with their priorities for the next 9 months Key words on activity planed for the next 9 months; Pascale to put the page structure into place.

Will be revisited by panels

The first M9 project meeting (see gantt Chart)

- First project meeting = Month 9 (I.E should be May or June 2017)
 - Do we propose a date close to the PAGES OSC ? Answer Yes
 - Location ? In Europe. Thierry proposed Bordeaux to be close to Spaine where PAGES will be
 - Size ? All PACMEDY partners we need to refine this at the next visio
 - Connection with PMIP working groups? Not clear yet. Could make sense (for example with PMIP paleovar group, or benchmarking or.... (need to discuss it at the next visio)
- Informal meeting
 - CLIVAR Qingdao (only a very limited subset of partners) → Pascale to propose a meeting their, as soon as the agenda it known.
 - Townhall PAGES/WCRP meeting during the CLIVAR conf. Need to advertise it + is a good opportunity for the paleo in WCRP + partners present at the meeting should attend.

• The work will be organised through the 3 panels

 $- \rightarrow$ panel leaders to plan visio etc... early at the begining of the project. LSCE can help by providing rendez-vous connexions

- → Opening of a wiki pages for each panel under the Work section on the WIKI (panel leader with the help of Jean-Yves)
- Identification of a few aspects that need to be discussed early in the project by the panels.
 - Data panel :
 - Tree rings :
 - ITTM , propose to contribute to the synthesis (LM)
 - S. Am : preliminary synthesis exists; link with other projects but connexion should be easy though Sao Paulo + Perou

-> need to organise this (PANEL leader and members with contributions from relevant partners)

- Speleothem :
 - India: -3000 ans (need more sample)
 - S.Am. Bresil + new data in PACMEDY in Perou
 - OK for Indian sector
- → Organise the synthesis + check common priorities. (PANEL leader and members with contributions from relevant partners)

Organisation of the work

- Modelling panel
 - PMIP4CMIP6 simulations
 - Start early next year
 - Use CPMIP3/CMIP5 simulations to start the work
 - Transient experiments :
 - Need to Document model versions (group)
 - Need to precise the calendar in each group (group)
 - Pascale to provide trace gazes (from Fortunat) and orbital parameters (simulations will be mentioned in the MH LIG PMIP4 protocal paper to be submitted soon)
 - Regional simulations
 - Mid-Holocene starting rapidly : Franscesco to provide boundary conditions for sentivity experiments
 - Groups need to precise their plan and calendar + needs from global simulations (panel + relevant partners)
 - Key questions and methodology to address them need to be developed (panel to organise the discussion, certainly in interaction with the analysis panel)
 - Other modelling activities : forward modeling (isotopes, tree-ring, speleothems)
 - Need first to document wiki + define which aspects can start early in the project (panel + relevant partners)
- Analysis panel :
 - To early, but we need to discuss rapidly some of the expected outcomes of WP4 to make sure the work and analyses in the other WP will be relevant for WP4.